ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT

This biogeographic assessment represents the continuation of an ongoing partnership between the National Marine Sanctuary Program (NMSP) and the National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS). The purpose of this collaboration is to provide sanctuary managers with basic information on the distribution of marine flora and fauna relevant to the national marine sanctuaries they manage. This particular work, conducted in collaboration with the Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary (CINMS) and members of the local research community, builds on a previous assessment developed for California's other three national marine sanctuaries (NOAA, 2003). These efforts were undertaken specifically to support the management plan revision process mandated for each sanctuary. This process evaluates the degree that each sanctuary is meeting its goals and allows an opportunity for the public to determine if there are new directions or issues that they feel the sanctuary should address. One issue raised by the public during the CINMS management plan revision process was whether the sanctuary boundaries should be expanded. A significant portion of this document, therefore, is devoted toward providing a biogeographic assessment of the differing boundary concepts previously developed by CINMS in conjunction with the Sanctuary Advisory Council and general public. This was accomplished by a thorough analysis of the biogeographic datasets provided to the analytical team by the local research community. Additionally, the data gathered, analyses performed, and patterns of distribution observed should provide invaluable information to support science, education, and support other spatially-explicit management decisions.

The results of this assessment are available via both hard copy and CD-ROM. Also available on the CD-ROM are the data utilized to develop the Habitat Suitability Models along with the ArcGIS project files used to develop many of the figures within this report (e.g. species distribution, substrate and oceanographic maps). For more information on this effort please visit the NCCOS Biogeography Team webpage dedicated to this project at: http://biogeo.nos.noaa.gov/projects/assess/ca_nms/cinms/ or direct questions and comments to:

Mark Monaco Biogeography Team Manager National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration 1305 East-West Hwy. (SSMC4, N/SCI-1) Silver Spring, MD 20910 Phone: (301) 713-3028 x160

Or

Chris Mobley
Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary Manager
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration
113 Harbor Way, Suite 150
Santa Barbara, CA 93109
Phone: (805) 884-1465

Email: chris.mobley@noaa.gov

Email: mark.monaco@noaa.gov

PROJECT TEAM

Satie Airamé-Partnership for Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans M. James Allen-Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Authority Jay Barlow-Southwest Fisheries Science Center Ken Buja-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Chris Caldow-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Harry Carter-Carter Biological Consulting Jenn Caselle-Partnership for Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans John Christensen-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Larry Claflin-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Randy Clark-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Michael Coyne-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Kate Eschelbach-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Sarah Fangman-Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary Glen Ford-R.G. Ford Consulting Company Karin Forney-Southwest Fisheries Science Center Steve Gaines-University of California, Santa Barbara Tracy Gill-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Brian Hatfield-United States Geological Survey Jamie Higgins-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Olaf Jensen-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Julie Kellner-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Mark Lowry-Southwest Fisheries Science Center Sarah MacWilliams-Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary Chris Mobley-Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary Mark Monaco-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Wendy Morrison-Georgia Tech University Michael Murray-Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary Matt Pickett-NOAA Marine and Aviation Operations Dan Pondella-Occidental College Lvnn Takata-California State Lands Commission Mitchell Tartt-Office of National Marine Sanctuaries Jenny Waddell-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Ben Waltenberger-Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary Kim Woody-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The priority management goal of the National Marine Sanctuaries Program (NMSP) is to protect marine ecosystems and biodiversity. This goal requires an understanding of broad-scale ecological relationships and linkages between marine resources and physical oceanography to support an ecosystem management approach. The Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary (CINMS) is currently reviewing its management plan and investigating boundary expansion. A management plan study area (henceforth, Study Area) was described that extends from the current boundary north to the mainland, and extends north to Point Sal and south to Point Dume. Six additional boundary concepts were developed that vary in area and include the majority of the Study Area. The NMSP and CINMS partnered with NOAA's National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Biogeography Team to conduct a biogeographic assessment to characterize marine resources and oceanographic patterns within and adjacent to the sanctuary. This assessment includes a suite of quantitative spatial and statistical analyses that characterize biological and oceanographic patterns in the marine region from Point Sal to the U.S.-Mexico border. These data were analyzed using an index which evaluates an ecological "cost-benefit" within the proposed boundary concepts and the Study Area.

The sanctuary resides in a dynamic setting where two oceanographic regimes meet. Cold northern waters mix with warm southern waters around the Channel Islands creating an area of transition that strongly influences the regions oceanography. In turn, these processes drive the biological distributions within the region. This assessment analyzes bathymetry, benthic substrate, bathymetric life-zones, sea surface temperature, primary production, currents, submerged aquatic vegetation, and kelp in the context of broad-scale patterns and relative to the proposed boundary concepts and the Study Area. Boundary cost-benefit results for these parameters were variable due to their dynamic nature; however, when analyzed in composite the Study Area and Boundary Concept 2 were considered the most favorable.

Biological data were collected from numerous resource agencies and university scientists for this assessment. Fish and invertebrate trawl data were used to characterize community structure. Habitat suitability models were developed for 15 species of macroinvertebrates and 11 species of fish that have significant ecological, commercial, or recreational importance in the region and general patterns of ichthyoplankton distribution are described. Six surveys of ship and plane at-sea surveys were used to model marine bird diversity from Point Arena to the U.S.-Mexico border. Additional surveys were utilized to estimate density and colony counts for nine bird species. Critical habitat for western snowy plover and the location of California least tern breeding pairs were also analyzed. At-sea surveys were also used to describe the distribution of 14 species of cetaceans and five species of pinnipeds. Boundary concept cost-benefit indices revealed that Boundary Concept 2 and the Study Area were most favorable for the majority of the species-specific analyses. Boundary Concept 3 was most favorable for bird diversity across the region. Inadequate spatial resolution for fish and invertebrate community data and incompatible sampling effort information for bird and mammal data precluded boundary cost-benefit analysis.

The final chapter integrates data and analyses from each of the preceding chapters utilizing two separate approaches. Cost-benefit indices were ranked for each biological group and for the oceanographic/physical parameters to provide a consistent and comprehensive evaluation of the boundary concepts. The Study Area and Boundary Concept 2 (see Chapter 1) ranked highest for the bird, fish, and mammal groups, as well as all the data in composite. The Study Area also ranked highest for macroinvertebrates. Second, select spatial data were integrated, based on data compatibility and spatial range, to identify areas of spatial coincidence which may reflect ecosystem "hotspots". Habitat suitability models for fish and macroinvertebrates, along with bird and mammal sightings information were utilized to evaluate this spatial coincidence. Areas of highest spatial coincidence most closely resemble the spatial delineation for the Study Area and also include a broad area from the mainland south through San Clemente Island.

Integration results highlight the Channel Islands and the area extending north to the mainland to Point Conception as an important ecosystem that supports a diverse array of biological communities. The boundary concepts that were favorably ranked incorporated large areas of the coastal mainland, due in part to the nearshore affinity exhibited by many of the analyzed species. Deep offshore environments away from the Channel Islands were

correspondingly less favorable. Both the Study Area and Boundary Concept 2 are characterized by areas of increased upwelling, dynamic surface currents and eddies, and persistent thermal fronts. These concepts also include large areas of important habitats such as kelp, seagrasses, and wetlands along with a mixture of deep and shallow waters that many species depend on for all or part of their life cycles.

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, the National Marine Sanctuary Program will incorporate this assessment with cultural and socio-economic analyses to prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement to fully analyze boundary change concepts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
1.2 INTRODUCTION TO BIOGEOGRAPHY	5
1.3 BIOGEOGRAPHY OF THE WEST COAST	6
Marine Benthic Invertebrates	
Marine Fishes	
Seabirds and Shorebirds	
Marine Mammals	
1.4 THE FOUR-STEP ASSESSMENT PROCESS	11
Species Selection	
Data Collection and Synthesis	
Metric Development	
Analyses Review	
1.5 ASSESSMENT OUTLINE	
LITERATURE CITED	
CHAPTER 2 PHYSICAL AND OCEANOGRAPHIC SETTING	10 47
2.1 PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AND GEOLOGY	
2.2 CLIMATE AND METEOROLOGY	
2.3 PHYSICAL OCEANOGRAPHY	
Offshore Ocean Currents	
Waves	
Long-Term Climate Perturbations	
2.4 PHYSIOGRAPHIC COMPLEXITY	
Data and Methods	
Broad-scale Patterns	
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	
Summary	
2.5 BENTHIC SUBSTRATE	
Data and Methods	
Broad-scale Patterns	
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	
Summary	
2.6 BATHYMETRIC LIFE-ZONES	
Data and Methods	
Broad-scale Patterns	
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	32
Summary	
2.7 SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE AND FRONTAL BOUNDARIES	
Data and Methods	33
Broad-scale Patterns	34
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	35
Summary	37
2.8 SURFACE CHLOROPHYLL AND OCEAN CURRENTS	38
Data and Methods	38
Broad-scale Patterns	40
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	42
Summary	45
2.9 SUBMERGED AQUATIC VEGETATION: EELGRASS AND SURFGRASS	47
Data and Methods	
Broad-scale Patterns	
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	
Summary	
-	

2.10 KELP DISTRIBUTION	. 50
Data and Methods	. 50
Broad-scale Patterns	.51
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	. 52
Summary	. 52
LITERATURE CITED	. 52
CHAPTER 3 BIOGEOGRAPHY OF MARINE MACROINVERTEBRATES	. 57
3.1 SINGLE SPECIES HABITAT SUITABILITY MODELS (HSM)	. 57
Data and Methods	
Rock crabs (Cancer spp.)	
Black abalone (Haliotis cracherodii)	. 60
Red abalone (Haliotis rufescens)	
White abalone (Haliotis sorenseni)	. 63
California market squid (Loligo opalescens)	. 65
Sheep crab (Loxorhynchus grandis)	. 67
Spot shrimp (Pandalus platyceros)	. 68
Ridgeback rock shrimp (Sicyonia ingentis)	.71
California spiny lobster (Panulirus interruptus)	.72
California sea cucumber (Parastichopus californicus)	.73
Warty sea cucumber (Parastichopus parvimensis)	.75
Red sea urchin (Strongylocentrotus franciscanus)	.76
Purple sea urchin (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus)	.78
3.2 MACROINVERTEBRATE ASSEMBLAGE STRUCTURE	.80
Data and Methods	.80
Broad-Scale Patterns	. 81
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	. 82
Summary	.85
LITERATURE CITED	
CHAPTER 4 BIOGEOGRAPHY OF MARINE FISHES	
4.1 SINGLE SPECIES HABITAT SUITABILITY MODELS (HSM)	. 89
Data and Methods	.89
Thresher shark (Alopias vulpinus)	. 90
Tope (Galeorhinus galeus)	. 92
Leopard shark (<i>Triakis semifasciata</i>)	. 95
Pacific angel shark (Squatina californica)	. 97
Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax)	
Northern anchovy (<i>Engraulis mordax</i>)	. 98
Bocaccio (Sebastes paucispinis)	
Cowcod (Sebastes levis)	
Lingcod (<i>Ophiodon elongatus</i>)	
Giant seabass (Stereolipis gigas)	
California sheephead (Semicossyphus pulcher)	
California halibut (Paralichthys californicus)	
4.2 FISH ASSEMBLAGE STRUCTURE	
Data and Methods	
Broad-scale Patterns	
Diversity	
Assemblages	
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	
Summary	
4.3 ICHTHYOPLANKTON	
Data and Methods	
Broad-scale Patterns	
California halibut (<i>Paralichthys californicus</i>)	
Bocaccio (Sebastes paucispinis)	. 128

Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax)	129
Northern anchovy (Engraulis mordax)	129
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	130
Summary	130
LITERATURE CITED	
CHAPTER 5 BIOGEOGRAPHY OF MARINE BIRDS	
5.1 MARINE BIRD SINGLE SPECIES ANALYSIS	
Data and Methods	
Computer Database Analysis System (CDAS)	
Xantus's Murrelet Telemetry Study	
Broad-scale Patterns and Analysis of Boundary Concepts	
Ashy storm-petrel (Oceanodroma homochroa)	
California brown pelican (Pelecanus occidentalis californicus)	
Double-crested cormorant (Phalacroorax auritus)	
Brandt's cormorant (<i>Phalacrocorax penicillatus</i>)	
Pelagic cormorant (Phalacrocorax pelagicus)	
Western snowy plover (Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus)	
Black oystercatcher (Haematopus bachmani)	
California least tern (Sterna antillarum browni)	
Pigeon guillemot (Cepphus columba)	
Xantus's murrelet (Synthliboramphus hypoleucus)	
Cassin's auklet (Ptychoramphus aleuticus)	
Other Marine Birds	
Summary5.2 MARINE BIRD DIVERSITY	
Data and MethodsBroad-scale Patterns	
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	
Summary	
LITERATURE CITED	
CHAPTER 6 BIOGEOGRAPHY OF MARINE MAMMALS	
6.1 CETACEAN SINGLE SPECIES ANALYSIS	
Data and Methods	
SWFSC Shipboard Suveys	
SWFSC Aerial Surveys	
Computer Database Analysis System (CDAS)	
SWFSC Bottlenose Dolphin Aerial Survey	
Broad-scale Patterns and Analysis of Boundary Concepts	
Blue whale (<i>Balaenoptera musculus</i>)	
Bottlenose dolphin (<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>)	
Long-beaked and short-beaked common dolphins (<i>Delphinus</i> spp.)	
Gray whale (<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>)Humpback whale (<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>)	170
Killer whale (Orcinus orca)	
Pacific white-sided dolphin (<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>)	
Risso's dolphin (<i>Grampus griseus</i>)	
Additional Cetaceans	
Dall's porpoise (<i>Phocoenoides dalli</i>)	
Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus)	
Harbor porpoise (<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>)	184
Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata)	
Northern righ-whale dolphin (<i>Lissodelphis borealis</i>)	
6.2 PINNIPEDS AND SOUTHERN SEA OTTER	186
Pinnipedia (seals, sea lions, and fur seals)	
Carnivora	
Data and Methods	

California sea lion (Zalophus californianus californianus)	187
Pacific harbor seal (<i>Phoca vitulina richardsi</i>)	188
Northern elephant seal (Mirounga angustirostris)	
Northern fur seal (Callorhinus ursinus)	
Southern sea otter (Enhydra lutris nereis)	
Broad-scale Patterns and Analysis of Boundary Concepts	
California sea lion	
Pacific harbor seal	
Northern elephant seal	
Southern sea otter	193
Summary	
LITERATURE CITED	
CHAPTER 7 INTEGRATION OF ANALYSES	
7.1 NUMERICAL INTEGRATION	199
Data and Methods	
Analysis of Boundary Concepts	199
7.2 SPATIAL INTEGRATION	
Data and Methods	
Broad-scale Patterns and Analysis of Boundary Concepts	
Summary	205
White abalone	
Western snowy plover	
California brown pelican	
California least tern	
Southern sea otter	
Blue whale	
Humpback whale	
Summary	208
LITERATURE CITED	
APPENDIX A	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	215

List of Tables

Table 1.1.1. Total area, perimeter, and amount of mainland coastline included for the NAC,	
Study Area, and six boundary concepts	
Table 2.4.1. Analysis of boundary concepts.	
Table 2.5.1. Area (km²) and percentage of total area for habitat types within boundary concepts	
Table 2.5.2. Analysis of habitat diversity within boundary concepts.	
Table 2.6.1. Analysis of life-zone evenness within boundary concepts.	
Table 2.8.1. Analysis of chlorophyll within boundary concepts.	
Table 2.9.1. Analysis of SAV distribution within boundary concepts.	
Table 2.10.1. Analysis of kelp distribution within boundary concepts.	
Table 3.1.1. Invertebrate species of interest for the CINMS biogeographic assessment.	
Table 3.1.2. Analysis of rock crab habitat suitability within boundary concepts.	
Table 3.1.3. Analysis of black abalone habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.4. Analysis of red abalone habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.5. Analysis of white abalone habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.6. Analysis of California market squid habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.7. Analysis of sheep crab habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.8. Analysis of spot shrimp habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.10. Analysis of California spiny lobster habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.11. Analysis of California spiriy lobster habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.12. Analysis of warty sea cucumber habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.12. Analysis of warty sea cucumber habitat suitability within boundary concepts Table 3.1.13. Analysis of red sea urchin habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.1.14. Analysis of purple sea urchin habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 3.2.1. Species assemblage results for SCCWRP survey data (1994, 1998) using the	
Bray-Curtis dissimilarity metric with average means clustering	82
Table 3.2.2. Mean frequency of occurrence for each SCCWRP site group	
Table 4.1.1. Fish species of interest for the CINMS biogeographic assessment	
Table 4.1.2. Analysis of adult thresher shark habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.1.3. Analysis of juvenile thresher shark habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.1.4. Analysis of adult tope habitat suitability within boundary concepts	94
Table 4.1.5. Analysis of juvenile tope habitat suitability within boundary concepts	95
Table 4.1.6. Analysis of adult leopard shark habitat suitability within boundary concepts	96
Table 4.1.7. Analysis of Pacific angel shark habitat suitability within boundary concepts	98
Table 4.1.8. Analysis of adult bocaccio habitat suitability within boundary concepts	101
Table 4.1.9. Analysis of juvenile bocaccio habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.1.10. Analysis of adult cowcod habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.1.11. Analysis of juvenile cowcod habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.1.12. Analysis of adult lingcod habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.1.13. Analysis of juvenile lingcod habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.1.14. Analysis of giant seabass habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.1.15. Analysis of California sheephead habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.1.16. Analysis of California halibut habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 4.2.1. Summary of the datasets used to assess fish diversity and species assemblages Table 4.2.2. Species assemblage results for the RecFIN CPFV data using the	113
	117
Bray-Curtis dissimilarity metric with average means clustering Table 4.2.3. Mean frequency of occurrence for each recreational site group	
Table 4.2.4. Species assemblage results for the SCCWRP trawl data using the	113
Bray-Curtis dissimilarity metric with average means clustering	120
Table 4.2.5. Mean frequency of occurrence for SCCWRP site groups	
Table 4.2.6. Species assemblage results for the NMFS GSP data using	
Bray-Curtis dissimilarity metric with average means clustering	122
Table 4.2.7. Mean frequency of occurrence for NMFS GSP site groups	
1 7	-

Table 4.2.8. Species assemblage results for kelp visual census surveys using Bray-Curtis	
dissimilarity metric with average means clustering	. 123
Table 4.2.9. Mean frequency of occurrence for kelp visual census site groups	. 124
Table 5.0.1. Status, habitat locations, and seasonal use of the requested marine birds in the	
Study Area	. 136
Table 5.1.1. Data used for the analysis of marine bird distributions presented in this chapter	. 137
Table 5.1.2. Ashy storm-petrel. At-sea sightings, effort, density, and Optimal-Area Index	
(OAI) for each boundary concept	. 140
Table 5.1.3. California brown pelican. At-sea sightings, effort, density, and Optimal-Area Index	
(OAI) for each boundary concept	. 142
Table 5.1.4. Double-crested cormorant. At-sea sightings, effort, density, and Optimal-Area Index	
(OAI) for each boundary concept	. 144
Table 5.1.5. Brandt's cormorant. At-sea sightings, effort, density, and Optimal-Area Index (OAI)	
for each boundary concept	. 145
Table 5.1.6. Pelagic cormorant. At-sea sightings, effort, density, and Optimal-Area Index (OAI)	. 170
for each boundary conceptfor	. 147
Table 5.1.7. Pelagic cormorant. Colony counts (total individuals) and Optimal-Area Index (OAI)	. 14/
	. 147
for each boundary concept	. 147
Table 5.1.8. Western snowy plover. Critical habitat for the Pacific coast population of	4.40
western snowy plover	. 148
Table 5.1.9. Black oystercatcher. Colony counts (total individuals) and Optimal-Area	4.40
Index (OAI) for each boundary concept	. 148
Table 5.1.10. California least tern. The maximum number of breeding pairs observed at each nesting	
site surveyed from 2001-2003 for each boundary concept (CDFG, DRAFT data)	. 149
Table 5.1.11. Pigeon guillemot. At-sea sightings, effort, density, and Optimal-Area	
Index (OAI) for each boundary concept	. 150
Table 5.1.12. Pigeon guillemot. Colony counts (total individuals) and Optimal-Area Index (OAI)	
for each boundary concept	. 151
Table 5.1.13. Xantus's murrelet. At-sea sightings, effort, density, and Optimal-Area Index (OAI)	
for each boundary concept	. 152
Table 5.1.14. Xantus's murrelet. Telemetry relocations and Optimal-Area Index (OAI) for each	
boundary concept	. 153
Table 5.1.15. Cassin's auklet. At-sea sightings, effort, density, and Optimal-Area Index (OAI)	
for each boundary concept	. 156
Table 5.2.1. Summary of the six surveys that were used in the analysis of marine bird diversity	157
Table 5.2.2. Analysis of bird diversity within boundary concepts	
Table 6.1.1. Summary of marine mammal field surveys examined in this chapter	. 168
Table 6.1.2. Line transect parameters used to estimate the abundance of selected	
cetaceans within the six proposed boundary concepts, the current CINMS boundary,	
and the Study Area	. 168
Table 6.1.3. Blue whale. Sightings, estimated density and abundance, coefficient of variation (CV)	
and upper and lower 95% confidence limits for the abundance estimate, and the Optimal	
Area Index (OAI) for the six proposed boundary concepts, the No Action Concept (NAC),	
and the Study Area (SA)	. 173
Table 6.1.4. Coastal bottlenose dolphin. Sightings, mean encounter rate, and estimated abundance	_
for four proposed boundary concepts and the Study Area	175
Table 6.1.5. Long-beaked common dolphin. Sightings, estimated density and abundance,	
coefficient of variation (CV) and upper and lower 95% confidence limits for the	
abundance estimate (corrected for unidentified common dolphin sightings), and the	
Optimal Area Index (OAI) for the six proposed boundary concepts, the No Action	
Concept (NAC), and the Study Area (SA)	177
O on O \mathcal{O} , and the Otally Alexander O \mathcal{O}	

,

of variation (CV) and upper and lower 95% confidence limits for the abundance estima (corrected for unidentified common dolphin sightings), and the Optimal Area Index (OA for the six proposed boundary concepts, the No Action Concept (NAC), and the Study	
Area (SA).	177
Table 6.1.7. Humpback whale. Sightings, estimated density and abundance, coefficient of variation (CV) and upper and lower 95% confidence limits for the abundance estimate for the six	×
proposed boundary concepts, the No Action Concept (NAC), and the Study Area (SA). Table 6.1.8. Risso's dolphin. Sightings, estimated density and abundance, coefficient of variation (CV) and upper and lower 95% confidence limits for the abundance estimate, and the Optimal Area Index (OAI) for the six proposed boundary concepts, the No Action	
Concept (NAC), and the Study Area (SA)	
Table 6.2.1. Summary of pinniped and sea otter surveys used in this chapter	
Table 6.2.2. Pacific Harbor seal. Total number of individuals, total area, and OAI for each boundary	
concept for SWFSC and CDFG 2002 surveys Table 6.2.3. Southern Sea otter. Total number of individuals, mainland encounter rates,	192
total area, and OAI for each boundary concept for Fall 2001 and Spring 2002 surveys.	105
Table 7.1.1. Absolute OAI rankings for individual, groups, and the composite for all analyses	
Table 7.1.2. Results of Kruskal-Wallis ranks sums test.	
Table 7.3.1. Analysis of white abalone habitat suitability within boundary concepts	
Table 7.3.2. Critical habitat for the Pacific coast population of western snowy plover	
Table 7.3.3. California brown Pelican sightings and density OAI	
Table 7.3.4. California least tern breeding pairs observed within boundary concepts, 2001-2003	207
Table 7.3.5. Spring and fall abundance estimates and OAI for southern sea otter	
Table 7.3.6. Sightings, estimated abundance and density, and OAI for blue whales	
Table 7.3.7. Sightings, estimated abundance and density for humpack whales	
Table 7.3.8. Ranked OAI for the federally listed threatened or endangered species	208
List of Figures	
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding	1
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4 7
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4 7
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4 7 8
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4 7 8 9 10
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4 7 8 9 10
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest Figure 1.1.2a. Spatial delineations for the Study Area and boundary Concepts 1-4. Figure 1.1.2b. Spatial delineation for boundary Concept 5. Figure 1.3.1. Pelagic life-zones off southern California. Figure 1.3.2. Benthic life-zones off southern California. Figure 1.3.3. Latitudinal range endpoints for 539 species of marine benthic invertebrates. Figure 1.3.4. Latitudinal range endpoints for 294 species of marine fishes. Figure 1.3.5. Latitudinal range endpoints for 132 shore and seabird species. Figure 1.3.6. Latitudinal range endpoints for 49 marine mammal species. Figure 1.4.1. A hypothetical set of three boundary concepts and the ecological value	3 4 7 8 9 10 11
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest Figure 1.1.2a. Spatial delineations for the Study Area and boundary Concepts 1-4 Figure 1.1.2b. Spatial delineation for boundary Concept 5 Figure 1.3.1. Pelagic life-zones off southern California Figure 1.3.2. Benthic life-zones off southern California Figure 1.3.3. Latitudinal range endpoints for 539 species of marine benthic invertebrates Figure 1.3.4. Latitudinal range endpoints for 294 species of marine fishes Figure 1.3.5. Latitudinal range endpoints for 132 shore and seabird species Figure 1.3.6. Latitudinal range endpoints for 49 marine mammal species Figure 1.4.1. A hypothetical set of three boundary concepts and the ecological value of the area contained within them	3 4 7 8 9 10 11
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest Figure 1.1.2a. Spatial delineations for the Study Area and boundary Concepts 1-4. Figure 1.1.2b. Spatial delineation for boundary Concept 5. Figure 1.3.1. Pelagic life-zones off southern California. Figure 1.3.2. Benthic life-zones off southern California. Figure 1.3.3. Latitudinal range endpoints for 539 species of marine benthic invertebrates. Figure 1.3.4. Latitudinal range endpoints for 294 species of marine fishes. Figure 1.3.5. Latitudinal range endpoints for 132 shore and seabird species. Figure 1.3.6. Latitudinal range endpoints for 49 marine mammal species. Figure 1.4.1. A hypothetical set of three boundary concepts and the ecological value of the area contained within them. Figure 1.4.2. Trend in values of absolute and relative metrics and the OAI for the hypothetical example shown in Figure 1.1.9.	3 4 7 8 9 10 11 12
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4 7 8 9 10 11 12
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest Figure 1.1.2a. Spatial delineations for the Study Area and boundary Concepts 1-4 Figure 1.1.2b. Spatial delineation for boundary Concept 5 Figure 1.3.1. Pelagic life-zones off southern California Figure 1.3.2. Benthic life-zones off southern California Figure 1.3.3. Latitudinal range endpoints for 539 species of marine benthic invertebrates Figure 1.3.4. Latitudinal range endpoints for 294 species of marine fishes Figure 1.3.5. Latitudinal range endpoints for 132 shore and seabird species Figure 1.3.6. Latitudinal range endpoints for 49 marine mammal species Figure 1.4.1. A hypothetical set of three boundary concepts and the ecological value of the area contained within them Figure 1.4.2. Trend in values of absolute and relative metrics and the OAI for the hypothetical example shown in Figure 1.1.9 Figure 2.1.1. Bathymetric and geologic features for the region of interest. Figure 2.4.1. Map of physiographic complexity along the California coast (ranging from 32° to 39°	3 4 7 8 9 10 11 12 14
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest Figure 1.1.2a. Spatial delineations for the Study Area and boundary Concepts 1-4 Figure 1.3.1. Pelagic life-zones off southern California Figure 1.3.2. Benthic life-zones off southern California Figure 1.3.3. Latitudinal range endpoints for 539 species of marine benthic invertebrates Figure 1.3.4. Latitudinal range endpoints for 294 species of marine fishes Figure 1.3.5. Latitudinal range endpoints for 132 shore and seabird species Figure 1.3.6. Latitudinal range endpoints for 49 marine mammal species Figure 1.4.1. A hypothetical set of three boundary concepts and the ecological value of the area contained within them Figure 1.4.2. Trend in values of absolute and relative metrics and the OAI for the hypothetical example shown in Figure 1.1.9. Figure 2.1.1. Bathymetric and geologic features for the region of interest Figure 2.4.1. Map of physiographic complexity along the California coast (ranging from 32° to 39° north latitude)	3 4 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 14 17 24
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 14 17 24
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest Figure 1.1.2a. Spatial delineations for the Study Area and boundary Concepts 1-4 Figure 1.3.1. Pelagic life-zones off southern California Figure 1.3.2. Benthic life-zones off southern California Figure 1.3.3. Latitudinal range endpoints for 539 species of marine benthic invertebrates Figure 1.3.4. Latitudinal range endpoints for 294 species of marine fishes Figure 1.3.5. Latitudinal range endpoints for 132 shore and seabird species Figure 1.3.6. Latitudinal range endpoints for 49 marine mammal species Figure 1.4.1. A hypothetical set of three boundary concepts and the ecological value of the area contained within them Figure 1.4.2. Trend in values of absolute and relative metrics and the OAI for the hypothetical example shown in Figure 1.1.9. Figure 2.1.1. Bathymetric and geologic features for the region of interest Figure 2.4.1. Map of physiographic complexity along the California coast (ranging from 32° to 39° north latitude)	3 4 7 8 9 10 12 14 14 17 24 25
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 14 17 24 25 26
Figure 1.1.1. Map of the Channel Islands and specific coastal locations in the surrounding region of interest	3 4 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 17 24 25 26 27 29

Figure 2.5.4. Regression of habitat diversity and total area for the current and proposed	
boundary concepts	30
Figure 2.5.5. Evenness and richness estimates for habitat types within boundary concepts	30
Figure 2.6.1. Distribution of benthic substrate types (hard or soft) off southern California	31
Figure 2.6.2. Distribution of bathymetric zones (m) off southern California	
Figure 2.6.3. Regression of habitat evenness and total area for the current and proposed	
boundary concepts	33
Figure 2.7.1. Seasonal mean sea surface temperature (January, April, July, and October)	
averaged across years from 1993 to 2003	35
Figure 2.7.2. Sea surface temperature variance, calculated from mean monthly values for the	
period January 1993 to May 2003	36
Figure 2.7.3. Left panel shows an example interpolated SST grid from GMT for January 1993	
Figure 2.7.4. Persistence of SST fronts through time	
Figure 2.7.5. Areas with greatest SST front activity through time	
Figure 2.7.6. Linear regression function between concept area (km²) and mean persistence	• .
of SST fronts	38
Figure 2.7.7. Linear regression function between total concept area (km²) and area of high	
SST frontal persistence	38
Figure 2.8.1. Average [ChIA] for grid cells in which data was present during November	
1997-December 1999	39
Figure 2.8.2. Interpolated mean chlorophyll concentration [ChlA] for the period between	
November 1997 and December 1999. Model extent ranges from 28°- 49° latitude	40
Figure 2.8.3. Interpolated mean chlorophyll concentration [ChlA] for the period between	
November 1997 and December 1999	41
Figure 2.8.4. Monthy mean [ChIA] for 1999. Estimates derived from data contained within the	
Study Area boundary	42
Figures 2.8.5a. Mean chlorophyll concentration [ChlA] for the months January to June 1999.	
Altimetry derived surface current velocity vectors for the same time periods	
are superimposed on the [ChIA] surface	43
Figures 2.8.5b. Mean chlorophyll concentration [ChIA] for the months of July to December	40
1999 (left to right). Altimetry derived surface current velocity vectors for the same time	
periods are superimposed on the [ChIA] surface	44
Figures 2.8.6. Interpolated mean chlorophyll concentration [ChlA] for the period between	
	46
Figure 2.8.7. Linear regression function between concept area (km²) and mean [ChIA]	
Figure 2.8.8. Linear regression function between the total concept area (km²) and area	
of high [ChIA]	47
Figure 2.9.1. Distribution of submerged aquatic vegetation within coastal California waters	
Figure 2.9.2. Distribution of submerged aquatic vegetation within the proposed boundary	+0
concepts in southern California	40
Figure 2.9.3. Linear regression function between area of SAV distribution and total area of	+5
boundary concepts	50
Figure 2.10.1. Kelp distribution based on aerial and multispectral surveys conducted by CDFG during	
1989, 1999, and 2002. Kelp polygons have been enlarged for better viewing	
Figure 2.10.2. Kelp distribution off southern California	
Figure 2.10.3. Regression of kelp area and total area for the current and proposed boundary	01
concepts	52
Figure 3.1.1. CDFG commercial 10x10 nm fishery landings grids. Mean monthly landings	52
(pounds) were reported within designated grids from 1996-2002	59
Figure 3.1.2. Rock crab (<i>Cancer</i> spp.) habitat suitability off central and southern California	
Figure 3.1.2. Rock crab (Cancer spp.) habitat suitability off southern California	
Figure 3.1.4. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for rock crabs and total area for the	53
current and proposed boundary concepts	eu
carrent and proposed boundary concepts	00

Figure 3.1.5.	Black abalone habitat suitability off southern California	61
Figure 3.1.6.	Black abalone commercial landings data from CDFG CMASTR database,	
	1990-1993, superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	61
Figure 3.1.7.	Regression of highly suitable habitat area for black abalone and total area	
	for the current and proposed boundary concepts	61
Figure 3.1.8.	Red abalone habitat suitability off southern California	62
Figure 3.1.9.	Red abalone commercial landings data from CDFG CMASTR database, 1990-1999,	
	superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	63
Figure 3.1.10.	. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for red abalone and total area for the	
	current and proposed boundary concepts	
Figure 3.1.11.	White abalone habitat suitability off southern California	64
Figure 3.1.12.	. White abalone commercial landings data from CDFG CMASTR database,	
	1984-1999, superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	64
Figure 3.1.13.	. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for white abalone and total area for	
	the current and proposed boundary concepts	
	. California market squid habitat suitability off central and southern California	66
Figure 3.1.15.	. Location of NMFS trawls (1999-2002) and squid mean log abundance	
	superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	
	. California market squid habitat suitability off southern California	66
Figure 3.1.17.	. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for California market squid and	
	total area for the current and proposed boundary concepts	
•	. Sheep crab habitat suitability off central and southern California	67
Figure 3.1.19	. Sheep crab commercial landings data from CDFG CMASTR database,	
	1996-2000, superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	
_	. Sheep crab habitat suitability off southern California	68
Figure 3.1.21.	. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for sheep crab and total area	
	for the current and proposed boundary concepts	
-	. Spot shrimp habitat suitability off central and southern California	69
Figure 3.1.23	. Spot shrimp commercial landings data from CDFG Commercial Trap Logs,	
	1994-2001, superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	69
Figure 3.1.24	. Spot shrimp commercial landings data from CDFG Commercial Trawl Logs,	
	1994-2001, superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	
•	Spot shrimp habitat suitability off southern California	70
Figure 3.1.26	Regression of highly suitable habitat area for spot shrimp and total	
F: 0.4.0 =	area for the current and proposed boundary concepts	
-	Ridgeback shrimp habitat suitability off central and southern California	/1
Figure 3.1.28	Location of SCCWRP trawls (1994, 1998) and ridgeback shrimp mean	-4
F: 0.4.00	abundance superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	
-	Ridgeback shrimp habitat suitability off southern California	/1
Figure 3.1.30	Regression of highly suitable habitat area for ridgeback shrimp and total	70
F: 0 4 04	area for the current and proposed boundary concepts	
	California spiny lobster habitat suitability off central and southern California	/3
Figure 3.1.32	. California spiny lobster commercial landings data from CDFG Commercial Logs,	72
Eiguro 2 4 22	1998-2002, superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	
-	. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for California spiny lobster and total	13
rigure 3.1.34	area for the current and proposed boundary concepts	74
Figuro 2 1 25	. California sea cucumber habitat suitability off central and southern California	
	. Location of SCCWRP trawls (1994, 1998) and California sea cucumber mean	14
i iguie 3.1.30	abundance superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	71
Figure 3 1 37	. California sea cucumber habitat suitability off southern California	
_	Regression of highly suitable habitat area for California sea cucumber and	7 3
. 19ule J. 1.30	total area for the current and proposed boundary concepts	75
Figure 3 1 39	. Warty sea cucumber habitat suitability off central and southern California	

Figure 3.1.40. Warty sea cucumber habitat suitability off southern California	. 76
Figure 3.1.41. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for warty sea cucumber and total	
area for the current and proposed boundary concepts	. 76
Figure 3.1.42. Red sea urchin habitat suitability off central and southern California	. 77
Figure 3.1.43. Red sea urchin habitat suitability off southern California	. 77
Figure 3.1.44. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for red sea urchin and total area	
for the current and proposed boundary concepts	. 78
Figure 3.1.45. Purple sea urchin habitat suitability off central and southern California	
Figure 3.1.46. Purple sea urchin habitat suitability off southern California	
Figure 3.1.47. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for purple sea urchin and total	
area for the current and proposed boundary concepts	. 79
Figure 3.2.1. Invertebrate diversity for individual SCCWRP trawls during 1994 and 1998	
Figure 3.2.2. Location of site groups for SCCWRP survey data (1994, 1998)	
Figure 3.2.3. Overlay of invertebrate diversity and CINMS boundary concepts	
Figure 4.1.1. Adult thresher shark habitat suitability off central and southern California	
·	
Figure 4.1.2. Juvenile thresher shark habitat suitability off central and southern California	
Figure 4.1.3. Adult thresher shark habitat suitability off southern California	. 91
Figure 4.1.4. Regression of total habitat area for adult thresher shark and total area within the	0.4
current and proposed boundary concepts	
Figure 4.1.5. Juvenile thresher shark habitat suitability off southern California	. 92
Figure 4.1.6. Regression of total habitat area for juvenile thresher shark and total area	
within the current and proposed boundary concepts	
Figure 4.1.7. Adult tope habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern California	
Figure 4.1.8. Juvenile tope habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern California	
Figure 4.1.9. Adult tope habitat suitability probability (HSP) off southern California	. 93
Figure 4.1.10. Regression of high probability habitat area for adult tope and total area	
within the current and proposed boundary concepts	. 94
Figure 4.1.11. Juvenile tope habitat suitability probability (HSP) off southern California	. 94
Figure 4.1.12. Regression of total habitat area for juvenile tope and total area	
within the current and proposed boundary concepts	. 94
Figure 4.1.13. Adult leopard shark habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern	
California	. 95
Figure 4.1.14. Adult leopard shark habitat suitability probability (HSP) off southern California	
Figure 4.1.15. Regression of high probability habitat area for adult leopard shark and total area within	
the current and proposed boundary concepts	96
Figure 4.1.16. Pacific angel shark habitat suitability off central and southern California	
Figure 4.1.17. Pacific angel shark habitat suitability off southern California	
Figure 4.1.18. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for Pacific angel shark and total	. 31
	07
area within the current and proposed boundary concepts	. 91
Figure 4.1.19. Adult bocaccio habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern	00
California	. 99
Figure 4.1.20. Juvenile bocaccio habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern	
California	
Figure 4.1.21. Adult bocaccio habitat suitability probability (HSP) off southern California	. 100
Figure 4.1.22. Regression of high probability habitat area for adult bocaccio and total area within the	
current and proposed boundary concepts	
Figure 4.1.23. Juvenile bocaccio habitat suitability probability (HSP) off southern California	. 100
Figure 4.1.24. Regression of high probability habitat area for juvenile bocaccio and total area within	
the current and proposed boundary concepts	. 100
Figure 4.1.25. Adult cowcod habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern	
California	. 102
Figure 4.1.26. Juvenile cowcod habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern	
California	. 102
Figure 4.1.27. Adult cowcod habitat suitability probability (HSP) off southern California	

Figure 4.1.28. Regression of high probability habitat area for adult cowcod and total area within	
the current and proposed boundary concepts	102
Figure 4.1.29. Juvenile cowcod habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern	
California	103
Figure 4.1.30. Regression of high probability habitat area for juvenile cowcod and total area within	
the current and proposed boundary concepts	103
Figure 4.1.31. Adult lingcod habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern	
California	105
Figure 4.1.32. Juvenile lingcod habitat suitability probability (HSP) off central and southern	
California	105
Figure 4.1.33. Adult lingcod habitat suitability probability (HSP) in nearshore and offshore	
waters of southern California	105
Figure 4.1.34. Regression of high probability habitat area for adult lingcod and total area within the	
current and proposed boundary concepts	105
Figure 4.1.35. Juvenile lingcod habitat suitability probability (HSP) in nearshore and offshore	
waters of southern California	106
Figure 4.1.36. Regression of high probability habitat area for juvenile lingcod and total area within the	
current and proposed boundary concepts	
Figure 4.1.37. Giant seabass habitat suitability off central and southern California	
Figure 4.1.38. Giant seabass habitat suitability off southern California	107
Figure 4.1.39. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for giant seabass and total area	
within the current and proposed boundary concepts	
Figure 4.1.40. California sheephead habitat suitability off central and southern California	109
Figure 4.1.41. California sheephead landings data from CDFG's Commercial Passenger Fishing	400
Vessel (CPFV) database, 1998-2002, superimposed over predicted habitat suitability	
Figure 4.1.42. California sheephead habitat suitability off southern California	109
Figure 4.1.43. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for California sheephead and total	440
area within the current and proposed boundary concepts	
Figure 4.1.44. California halibut habitat suitability off central and southern California	111
Figure 4.1.45. Abundance of California halibut captured in SCCWRP trawls superimposed	444
over predicted habitat suitability Figure 4.1.46. California halibut landings data from CDFG's Commercial Passenger Fishing	TIT
	444
Vessel (CPFV) database, 1998-2000, superimposed over predicted habitat suitability Figure 4.1.47. California halibut habitat suitability off southern California	
Figure 4.1.48. Regression of highly suitable habitat area for California halibut and total	
area within the current and proposed boundary concepts	112
Figure 4.2.1. Fish diverisity calculated for individual RecFin hook and line trips (left) and	112
mean diversity for trips within 5x5 minute grids (right)	115
Figure 4.2.2. Fish diversity calculated for individual SCCWRP trawls (left) and	113
mean diversity of trawls within 5x5 minute grids (right)	116
Figure 4.2.3. Fish diverisity calculated for individual NMFS GSP trawls (left) and	
mean diversity of trawls within 5x5 minute grids (right)	116
Figure 4.2.4. Fish diverisity calculated for individual kelp visual census surveys (left) and	
mean diversity of surveys within 5x5 minute grids (right)	117
Figure 4.2.5. Composite fish diversity (mean of standardized values across the four	
datasets (left) and effort within 5x5 minute grids (right)	117
Figure 4.2.6. Location of site groups, RecFIN CPFV data	
Figure 4.2.7. Location of site groups for the RecFIN CPFV data within southern California	_
(left) and around Anacapa Island.	119
Figure 4.2.8. Location of site groups, SCCWRP.	
Figure 4.2.9. Location of site groups, NMFS GSP	
Figure 4.2.10. Location of site groups, kelp visual census surveys	
Figure 4.2.11. Composite fish diversity within boundary concepts	
Figure 4.3.1. Geographic extent of CalCOFI bongo net data	

Figure 4.3.2. CalCOFI bongo net effort data.	127
Figure 4.3.3. Estimated mean larval abundance for California halibut in CalCOFI bongo tows	128
Figure 4.3.4. Estimated mean larval abundance for bocaccio in CalCOFI bongo tows.	129
Figure 4.3.5. Estimated mean larval abundance for Pacific sardine in CalCOFI bongo tows	130
Figure 4.3.6. Estimated mean larval abundance for northern anchovy in CalCOFI bongo tows	130
Figure 5.1.1. Ashy storm-petrel. At-sea densities (individuals/km²) calculated for five minutes of	
latitude by five minutes of longitude grid cells from aerial and shipboard survey data	
collected from 1975-1997 and summarized in the Computer Database Analysis	
System v2.1 (MMS, 2001)	
Figure 5.1.2. Ashy storm-petrel. Colony counts (corrected total individuals) 1989-1991	139
Figure 5.1.3. Brown pelican. At-sea densities (individuals/km²) calculated for five minute	
of latitude by five minutes of longitude grid cells from aerial and shipboard survey data	
collected from 1975-1997 and summarized in the Computer Database Analysis	
System v2.1 (MMS, 2001)	
Figure 5.1.4. Brown pelican. Colony counts (corrected total individuals) 1989-1991	139
Figure 5.1.5. Double-crested cormorant. At-sea densities (individuals/km²) calculated for	
five minutes of latitude by five minutes of longitude grid cells from aerial and shipboard	
survey data collected from 1975-1997 and summarized in the Computer Database	4.40
Analysis System v2.1 (MMS, 2001)	
Figure 5.1.6. Double-crested cormorant. Colony counts (corrected total individuals) 1989-1991	. 143
Figure 5.1.7. Brandt's cormorant. At-sea densities (individuals/km²) calculated for five minute	
of latitude by five minutes of longitude grid cells from aerial and shipboard survey data	
collected from 1975-1997 and summarized in the Computer Database Analysis System v2.1 (MMS, 2001)	
Figure 5.1.8. Brandt's cormorant. Colony counts (corrected total individuals) 1989-1991	
Figure 5.1.9. Pelagic cormorant. At-sea densities (individuals/km²) calculated for	143
five minutes of latitude by five minutes of longitude grid cells from aerial and shipboard	
survey data collected from 1975-1997 and summarized in the Computer Database	
Analysis System v2	146
Figure 5.1.10. Pelagic cormorant. Colony counts (corrected total individuals) 1989-1991	
Figure 5.1.11. Western snowy plover. Designated critical habitat for the Pacific coast	
population of western snowy plover.	. 147
Figure 5.1.12. Black oystercatcher. Colony counts (corrected total individuals) 1989-1991	
Figure 5.1.13. California least tern. Maximum number of breeding pairs 2001-2003	
Figure 5.1.14. Pigeon guillemot. At-sea densities (individuals/km²) calculated for five minutes of	
latitude by five minutes of longitude grid cells from aerial and shipboard survey data	
collected from 1975-1997 and summarized in the Computer Database Analysis	
System v2.1.	
Figure 5.1.15. Pigeon guillemot. Colony counts (corrected total individuals) 1989-1991	151
Figure 5.1.16. Xantus's murrelet. At-sea densities (individuals/km²) calculated for five minute	
of latitude by five minutes of longitude grid cells from aerial and shipboard survey data	
collected from 1975-1997 and summarized in the Computer Database Analysis	
System v2.1	
Figure 5.1.17. Xantus's murrelet. Colony counts (corrected total individuals) 1989-1991	153
Figure 5.1.18. Xantus's murrelet. Anacapa Island colony counts (estimated total individuals	
per shoreline segment) 1991-2002	154
Figure 5.1.19. Xantus's murrelet. San Clemente Island colony counts (estimated total	
individuals per shoreline segment) 1991-2002	154
Figure 5.1.20. Xantus's murrelet. San Miguel Island colony counts (estimated total individuals	4
per shoreline segment) 1991-2002	154
Figure 5.1.21. Xantus's murrelet. Santa Barbara Island colony counts (estimated total	4=-
individuals per shoreline segment) 1991-2002	. 154
Figure 5.1.22. Xantus's murrelet. Santa Catalina Island colony counts (estimated total individuals	4 = 4
per shoreline segment) 1991-2002	154

Figure 5.1.23. Xantus's murrelet. Santa Cruz Island colony counts (estimated total individual	
per shoreline segment) 1991-2002	
Figure 5.1.24. Xantus's murrelet. Telemetry relocations during 1995-1997 and kernel density	
based on the relocation points	
Figure 5.1.25. Cassin's auklet. At-sea densities (individuals/km²) calculated for five minutes of	
latitude by five minutes of longitude grid cells from aerial and shipboard survey	
from 1975-1997 and summarized in the Computer Database Analysis System	
Figure 5.1.26. Cassin's auklet. Colony counts (corrected total individuals) 1989-1991	
Figure 5.2.1. The distribution of CDAS marine bird survey effort and sightings (left) and the to	
amount of effort within 5 minutes of latitude by 5 minutes of longitude grid cells	
the region from Point Arena to the U.SMexico border	
Figure 5.2.2. Estimated bird diversity from Point Arena, Calfiornia, to the U.SMexico border	
Figure 5.2.3. Overlay of estimated marine bird diversity and CINMS boundary concepts	
Figure 5.2.4. Regression of mean bird diversity and concept area	
Figure 5.2.5. Regression of the area of highest mean bird diversity and concept area	162
Figure 6.1.1. Survey tracks for the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC)	
ship surveys 1991-2001	168
Figure 6.1.2. Survey tracks for the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC)	
ship surveys 1991-2001 off central and southern California waters	
Figure 6.1.3. Survey tracks for the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surve	
1991-2001 off southern California waters	171
Figure 6.1.4. Survey effort (km of survey track) for the seven surveys of marine	
mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis System (CDAS) v2.1	172
Figure 6.1.5. Survey effort (kilometers of survey track) for the seven surveys of marine	
mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis System (CDAS) v2.1 of	off central
and southern California waters	172
Figure 6.1.6. Blue whale. Sightings and group size (where available) from the Southwest	
Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001 and the seven su	rveys of
marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis System (CDAS	S) v2.1 173
Figure 6.1.7. Bottlenose dolphin. Sightings and group size (where available) from the	
Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001 and the	ne
seven surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis	sis
System (CDAS) v2.1	174
Figure 6.1.8. Bottlenose dolphin (coastal population). Encounter rates (#/km) based on data	
from the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) aerial surveys 1990-2	000 174
Figure 6.1.9. Common dolphin (unidentified). Sightings and group size (where available)	
from the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-20	01 and
the seven surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Ar	nalysis
System (CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	175
Figure 6.1.10. Long-beaked common dolphin. Sightings and group size (where available)	
from the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-20	01 176
Figure 6.1.11. Short-beaked common dolphin. Sightings and group size (where available)	
from the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-20	01 176
Figure 6.1.12. Gray whale. Sightings and group size (where available) from the Southwest F	isheries
Science Center (SWFSC) aerial surveys conducted near San Nicolas (1992-19	993)
& San Clemente (1998-2003) islands and the seven surveys of marine mamm	als
compiled in the Computer Database Analysis System (CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	7 178
Figure 6.1.13. Humpback whale. Sightings and group size (where available) from the	
Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001 and the	
seven surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis	sis
System (CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	
Figure 6.1.14. Killer whale. Sightings and group size (where available) from the	
Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001 and the	ne
seven surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis	
System (CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	

Figure 6.1.15	. Pacific white-sided dolphin. Sightings and group size (where available)	
	from the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001	
	and the seven surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database	
	Analysis System (CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	181
Figure 6.1.16	. Risso's dolphin. Sightings and group size (where available) from the	
	Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001 and the	
	seven surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis	
	System (CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	182
Figure 6.1.17	'. Dall's porpoise. Sightings and group size (where available) from the	
	Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001 and the	
	seven surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis	
	System (CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	183
Figure 6.1.18	. Fin whale. Sightings and group size (where available) from the Southwest	
	Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001 and the seven	
	surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis System	
	(CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	184
Figure 6.1.19	. Harbor porpoise. Sightings and group size (where available) from the	
	Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001 and the seven	
	surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis System	
	(CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	184
Figure 6.1.20	. Minke whale. Sightings and group size (where available) from the	
	Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001 and the	
	seven surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database Analysis	
	System (CDAS) v2.1, 1975-1997	185
Figure 6.1.21	. Northern right whale dolphin. Sightings and group size (where available)	
	from the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) ship surveys 1991-2001	
	and the seven surveys of marine mammals compiled in the Computer Database	
		186
Figure 6.2.1 .	California sea lion. Maximum total individuals (greatest number of individuals	
	of all sex and age classes counted for each beach area surveyed out of the three most	
	recent SWFSC surveys 2001-2003) for (left to right) Anacapa, San Clemente, San Migue	
	San Nicolas, Santa Barbara, Santa Catalina, Santa Cruz, and Santa Rosa islands	189
Figure 6.2.2 .	California sea lion. Maximum pups (greatest number of pups counted for each	
	beach area surveyed out of the three most recent SWFSC surveys 2001-2003) for	
	Anacapa, San Clemente, San Miguel, San Nicolas, Santa Barbara, and Gull islands	190
Figure 6.2.3 .	Harbor seal. Total number of individuals counted (all sex and age classes)	
	at each surveyed site for the 2002 SWFSC census	191
Figure 6.2.4 .	Harbor seal. Total number of individuals counted (all sex and age classes)	
	at each surveyed site for the 2002 CDFG census	191
Figure 6.2.5 .	Northern elephant seal. Maximum total individuals (greatest number of	
	individuals of all sex and age classes counted for each beach area surveyed out of the	
	three most recent SWFSC surveys 2001-2003) for San Clemente, San Miguel,	
	San Nicolas, Santa Barbara, and Santa Rosa islands	192
Figure 6.2.6.	Northern elephant seal. Maximum pups (greatest number of pups counted for	
	each beach area surveyed out of the three most recent SWFSC surveys 2001-2003)	
	for San Clemente, San Miguel, San Nicolas, Santa Barbara, and Santa Rosa islands	193
Figure 6.2.7 .	Southern sea otter. Counts summarized by 20 km shoreline segment for Fall 2001	
	and Spring 2002 (right) surveys conducted by CDFG, USGS-Biological Resources	4.5 -
	Division, and the Monterey Bay Aquarium	
•	Southern sea otter. Expansion of sea otter range in California from 1938 to 1998	195
Figure 6.2.9.	Southern sea otter. Three-year moving average of Spring sea otter survey counts since	
	1984. Reprinted with permission from the USGS Western Ecological Research Center	195
Figure 7.2.1.	Broad-scale distribution of the overlap of fish and invertebrate highly suitable	
	habitat	202

Figure 7.2.2. Overlap of fish and invertebrate highly suitable habitat off southern California	203
Figure 7.2.3. Broad-scale distribution of bird and mammal co-occurrence	203
Figure 7.2.4. Bird and mammal co-occurrence off southern California	
Figure 7.2.5. Broad-scale distribution of the composite spatial integration for bird, fish, invertebrate, and mammal data	
Figure 7.2.6. Composite spatial integration of bird, fish, invertebrate, and mammal data off	
southern California	205